

Gary M. Hoffman (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 Kenneth W. Brothers(*Pro Hac Vice*)
 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
 2101 L Street, NW
 Washington, DC 20006-5403
 Phone (202) 420-2200
 Fax (202) 420-2201

Edward A. Meilman (*Pro Hac Vice*)
 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
 1177 Avenue of the Americas
 New York, New York 10036-2714
 Phone (212) 277-6500
 Fax (212) 277-6501

Jeffrey B. Demain, State Bar No. 126715
 Jonathan Weissglass, State Bar No. 185008
 ALTSHULER, BERZON, NUSSBAUM, RUBIN & DEMAINE
 177 Post Street, Suite 300
 San Francisco, California 94108
 Phone (415) 421-7151
 Fax (415) 362-8064

Attorneys for Ricoh Company, Ltd.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

 RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,

 Plaintiff,

vs.

 AEROFLEX ET AL,

 Defendants.

CASE NO. CV 03-4669 MJJ (EMC)

CASE NO. CV 03-2289 MJJ (EMC)

**ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR AN
 ORDER PLACING DOCUMENTS UNDER
 SEAL
 (Civil L.R. 79-5(d))**

Judge: Martin J. Jenkins

 SYNOPSISYS, INC.,

 Plaintiff,

vs.

 RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,

 Defendant.

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11, Ricoh Company, Ltd. ("Rico") hereby brings this administrative motion for an order to file under seal the following unredacted/confidential documents:

1. RICOH'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION OF NON-INFRINGEMENT NO. 1 (RTL);

2. RICOH'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT (HARDWARE CELLS) (NO.2);

3. RICOH'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF INVALIDITY OF CLAIMS 13-17 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 4,922,432 (NO. 4);

4. RICOH'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' SUMMARY JUDGEMENT MOTION OF NON-INFRINGEMENT (OTHER ELEMENTS) (NO. 6);

5. RICOH'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THE SCOPE OF PATENT DAMAGES FOR ALLEGED INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 4,922,432 (NO. 7); AND

6. RICOH'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF LACHES DEFENSE (NO. 8).

Because the above documents contain confidential information and refer to confidential materials produced in discovery; this request is made pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order in this action.

The Court hereby GRANTS this request.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

The Honorable Judge Martin J. Jenkins